



JFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

**WARMERDAM, Gerard G.  
PLUG-IT PRODUCTS CORPORATION**

**Examiner: HOOK, James F.**

**Serial No.: 10/770,722**

**Art Unit: 3754**

**Filed: 3 February 2004**

**For: AN INFLATABLE PIPE PLUG**

**Atty Dkt.: 5084**

**Mail Stop Response - No Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Response-Fee, Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on May 26, 2005. By RICHARD ESTY PETERSON  
RICHARD ESTY PETERSON, ESQ.

**SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION  
DATED NOVEMBER 17, 2004, IN RESPONSE TO  
INSUFFICIENCY NOTICE OF MAY 3, 2005**

Sir:

Applicant respectfully supplements its Remarks to the Office Action, dated November 17, 2004, in response to the Examiner notification of an insufficiency in the reply set forth in the Examiner Response to Amendment, dated May 3, 2005

In Applicant's Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111, dated February 4, 2005 and filed February 7, 2005, the Remarks portion clearly set forth arguments in response to the Examiner's rejection of claims 1, 3 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Tash (356) in view of Warmerdam. Applicant inadvertently omitted that the discussion also applied to the Examiner's rejection of claims 1, 3 and 4 under 37 C.F.R. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Mathison in view of Warmerdam and Tash (356). Applicant therefore supplements its Remarks as follows: